HEC MONTREAL



The Value of Financial Knowledge

Irina Gemmo, Pierre-Carl Michaud, Olivia Mitchell



Research Questions

SUBJECTIVE VALUE OF FK: WILLINGNESS TO PAY

- What determines demand for financial education?
- Who is willing to pay (more) for financial education?

OBJECTIVE VALUE OF FK: EFFECTIVENESS

- Do individuals improve their financial decision-making when they acquire financial education?
- Who benefits most from financial education?



Experimental Setup

- Survey experiment with Asking Canadians in Fall 2021
- 2,005 subjects aged 25 to 80
- Rewards: Participation fee & Return from Allocation Task 2 with a 30\$ endowment



Portfolio Allocation Task 1





Funds	Expected 5-year Return (%)	5-year Variability (%)
Fund X	44.4	50.2
Fund Y	27.5	40.3
Fund Z	18.9	7.6



Task 1: Efficiency Measures







Task 1: Determinants of Performance

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
	Moon.	Standard	Sharpe	PML.	PSI.	1/K.	Return
	Mean	Deviation	1 Ratio ₁	num	IWE	1/101	Chasing ₁
Female	0.002	0.332	0.014	0.359	0.286	-0.022	0.026
	(0.311)	(0.552)	(0.020)	(0.284)	(0.558)	(0.020)	(0.015)
College or some university	-0.218	0.023	0.002	0.530	0.979	-0.059*	-0.005
	(0.462)	(0.821)	(0.029)	(0.423)	(0.830)	(0.028)	(0.022)
Bachelor degree or more	-0.781	-0.575	0.039	1.097**	1.631^{*}	-0.034	0.004
	(0.463)	(0.822)	(0.029)	(0.423)	(0.830)	(0.028)	(0.022)
Financial Literacy Score	0.006	0.163	0.001	0.229	0.484	-0.065***	-0.001
	(0.217)	(0.385)	(0.014)	(0.198)	(0.389)	(0.012)	(0.010)
Cognitive Ability Score	-0.570***	-0.909**	0.042^{***}	0.136	0.102	-0.006	-0.001
	(0.171)	(0.303)	(())	(0.156)	(0.307)	(0.011)	(0.008)
Numeracy Score	-0.324	-0.606	0.027^{*}	-0.046	-0.074	-0.021	-0.000
	(0.201)	(0.357)	(0.013)	(0.184)	(0.361)	(0.014)	(0.010)
Financial knowledge: high	0.684	1.334	-0.003	0.010	-0.564	-0.053	0.053*
	(0.523)	(0.928)	(0.033)	(0.478)	(0.938)	(0.037)	(0.023)
Financial knowledge: very high	0.525	1.041	-0.047	0.098	0.210	-0.023	0.004
	(0.837)	(1.487)	(0.053)	(0.766)	(1.503)	(0.056)	(0.041)
Has traded stocks	0.712^{*}	1.243^{*}	-0.010	-0.161	-0.559	-0.052*	0.045**
	(0.346)	(0.615)	(0.022)	(0.317)	(0.621)	(0.023)	(0.016)
_cons	34.083***	27.873***	1.299***	0.203	0.935		
	(1.172)	(2.081)	(0.074)	(1.071)	(2.102)		
Mean	31.679	26.056	1.374	3.883	7.628	0.244	0.108
N	1993	1993	1993	1993	1993	1993	1993
r2	0.055	0.045	0.054	0.029	0.024		
chi2						177.675	54.232

Standard errors in parentheses

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001

Retirement and

Savings Institute

HEC MONTREAL

Willingness to Pay for Education



- Real trade-off between paying for education or spending money on Allocation Task 2
- 24.5% of participants do not want an educational intervention, even if it is provided at no cost
- The average reported willingness to pay is \$2.90 (~10% of the endowment)

	(1)	('	2)
	Reject		Willing	-) ness to
	trootr	hont	nov(>-	-0)
	creatin		Day (>-	- 0)
Ability to apply treatment: yes	-0.067**	0.025	0.399***	(0.111)
Ability to apply treatment: dk	().045	(0.026)	(1191	(0.149)
Exp. higher return in task 2: yes	-0.129^{***}	(0.026)	0.338^{**}	(0.119)
Exp. higher return in task 2: dk	0.017	(0.025)	0.056	(0.142)
Female	-0.029	(0.020)	0.073	(0.094)
College or some university	0.042	(0.030)	-0.112	(0.142)
Bachelor degree or more	0.059	(0.030)	-0.221	(0.141)
ln(Household income)	0.020**	(0.006)	-0.017	(0.021)
Financial Literacy Score	-0.045***	(0.013)	-0.029	(0.073)
Cognitive Ability Score	0.017	(0.011)	0.046	(0.051)
Numeracy Score	-0.055***	(0.015)	-0.066	(0.058)
Financial knowledge: high	0.010	(0.037)	-0.499**	(0.154)
Financial knowledge: very high	0.038	(0.051)	-0.519^{*}	(0.247)
_cons			3.112	(4.379)
Mean	0.245		2.909	
Ν	1592		1202	
chi2	426.906			
r2			0.080	

Standard errors in parentheses

* p < 0.05,** p < 0.01,*** p < 0.001

• 43.1% received the "treatment"

Pierre-Carl Michaud, Director, Retirement and Savings Institute



The Educational Treatment



The value of diversification

- Verbal and graphical illustration of hypothetical 3-fund allocation task, where funds have same expected return and standard deviation
- Portfolio's standard deviation decreases when endowment is spread equally across all funds (relative to investing everything in a single fund) while expected return is unchanged

The value of high risk adjusted portfolio returns

- Illustration and example of a 3-fund allocation task
- Treatment suggests first to build a portfolio by using 1/K, and then shows how to increase the expected return while keeping standard deviation unchanged:
 - Calculate risk-adjusted returns of each fund: <u>Expected Return</u> <u>Standard Deviation</u>
 - Allocate more money to funds with higher risk-adjusted returns.



Task 2: Efficiency & Welfare Measures

• Change in efficiency

$$\Delta E_i = \mathbb{I}(\Delta RML_i < 0)\mathbb{I}(\Delta RSL_i = 0)$$

$$+\mathbb{I}(\Delta RML_i = 0)\mathbb{I}(\Delta RSL_i < 0)$$

$$+\mathbb{I}(\Delta RML_i < 0)\mathbb{I}(\Delta RSL_i < 0)$$

• Preference independent change in welfare:

$$\begin{split} \Delta W_i &= \mathbb{I}(\Delta \mu_i > 0) \mathbb{I}(\Delta \sigma_i = 0) \\ &+ \mathbb{I}(\Delta \mu_i = 0) \mathbb{I}(\Delta \sigma_i < 0) \\ &+ \mathbb{I}(\Delta \mu_i > 0) \mathbb{I}(\Delta \sigma_i < 0) \end{split}$$



Task 2: Efficiency & Welfare Measures



- First Allocation
- No Improvement
- Efficiency Improvement
- Efficiency & Welfare Improvement



Task 2: Treatment Effect on Portfolio Allocation

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
	Δ Sharpe Ratio	$\Delta \mathrm{RML}$	$\Delta \operatorname{RSL}$	$\Delta \ 1/{ m K}$	Δ Return chasing	Δ Efficiency	Δ Welfare
Received treatment	-0.007	-0.842	-1.101	0.496***	0.271*	0.196***	0.029*
	(0.021)	(0.456)	(0.910)	(0.059)	(0.115)	(0.032)	(0.014)
_cons	-0.021	-0.209	-0.894				
	(0.060)	(1.294)	(2.581)				
Controls	X	Х	Х	Х	X	Х	Х
Mean	0.039	-0.410	-0.517	0.489	0.473	0.342	0.035
Ν	1592	1592	1592	395	163	1592	1592
r2	0.027	0.024	0.019				
chi2				213.890	68.560	168.536	55.810

Standard errors in parentheses

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001



Summary of Results / Conclusion

WILLINGNESS TO PAY

- One quarter of participants does not want to receive the educational treatment, even if it was free of charge
- The average reported willingness to pay is $\sim 10\%$ of the endowment
- WTP is driven by expectations about ability to transform financial information from intervention into a higher return
- Higher **revealed sophistication** (e.g., financial literacy) **increases the willingness** to receive the educational treatment
- Higher self-reported financial knowledge decreases the willingness to pay for it

EFFECTIVENESS

- Treatment increases heterogeneity in portfolio allocations
- Standard performance measures can miss the treatment effect of financial education on portfolio decisions → We develop two novel measures of 1) Pareto improvement of portfolio efficiency 2) preferenceindependent welfare improvement
- Treatment increases likelihood to achieve these types of performance improvement by almost 20 and 3 p.p., respectively Retirement and

Savings Institute

HEC MONTREAL

Backup



Task 1: Descriptive Statistics

Table 2: Performance in Allocation Task 1

	Ν	mean	sd	\min	median	\max
$Mean_1$		31.679	6.498	18.9	30.264	44.4
Standard Deviation ₁		26.056	11.480	7.410	21.605	50.2
Sharpe Ratio ₁		1.374	0.412	0.682	1.401	2.704
RML_1		3.883	5.861	0	1.375	33.086
RSL_1		7.628	11.473	0	3.365	59.852
One over K ₁		0.244	0.430	0	0	1
Return $Chasing_1$		0.108	0.310	0	0	1
Ν	1993					



WTP: Determinants

	(1)		(2	(2))
	Reject		Willing	iess to	Willing	gness
	treatm	ent	pay (>=	= 0)	to pay	
Ability to apply treatment: yes	-0.067**	(0.025)	0.399***	(0.111)	0.508***	(0.110)
Ability to apply treatment: dk	0.045	(0.026)	8,001	(0.149)	-0.083	(0.133)
Exp. higher return in task 2: yes	-0.129***	(0.026)	0.338**	(0.119)	0.588***	(0.117)
Exp. higher return in task 2: dk	0.017	(0.025)	0.056	(0.142)	-0.072	(0.129)
Female	-0.029	(0.020)	0.073	(0.094)	0.138	(0.091)
College or some university	0.042	(0.030)	-0.112	(0.142)	-0.187	(0.135)
Bachelor degree or more	0.059	(0.030)	-0.221	(0.141)	-0.307*	(0.135)
ln(Household income)	0.020**	(0.006)	-0.017	(0.021)	-0.060**	(0.022)
Household income missing	0.128***	(0.022)	-0.154	(0.140)	-0.573***	(0.117)
Financial wealth	_0.000	(0.000)	0.000	(0.000)	0.000	(0.000)
Financial Literacy Score	-0.045***	(0.013)	-0.029	(0.073)	0.150^{*}	(0.063)
Cognitive Ability Score	0.017	(0.011)	0.046	(0.051)	0.012	(0.050)
Numeracy Score	-0.055***	(0.015)	0.066	(0.058)	0.061	(0.059)
Financial knowledge: high	0.010	(0.037)	-0.499**	(0.154)	-0.446**	(0.153)
Financial knowledge: very high	0.038	(0.051)	-0.519*	(0.247)	-0.482*	(0.236)
St. market knowledge: high	-0.000	(0.046)	-0.100	(0.194)	-0.107	(0.192)
St. market knowledge: very high	0.054	(0.071)	-0.141	(0.354)	-0.250	(0.336)
Has traded stocks	-0.047*	(0.024)	0.008	(0.100)	0.141	(0.100)
Has studied economics	0.016	(0.022)	0.149	(0.099)	0.090	(0.096)
Mean ₁	-0.069	(0.045)	-0.001	(0.180)	0.114	(0.180)
Standard Deviation ₁	0.035	(0.022)	-0.002	(0.090)	-0.055	(0.089)
Sharpe Ratio ₁	-0.067	(0.150)	-0.151	(0.619)	0.066	(0.611)
RML ₁	-0.037	(0.021)	0.040	(0.084)	-0.024	(0.086)
RSL ₁	0.005	(0.005)	0.004	(0.020)	-0.004	(0.020)
One over K ₁	0.083**	(0.030)	-0.165	(0.144)	-0.392**	(0.136)
Return Chasing ₁	0.102	(0.081)	-0.105	(0.350)	-0.434	(0.343)
_cons			3.112	(4.379)	-1.096	(4.373)
Mean	0.245		2.909		2.196	
N	1592		1202		1592	
chi2	426.906					
r2			0.080		0.200	
Standard errors in parentheses						
* $p < 0.05,$ ** $p < 0.01,$ *** $p < 0.001$						

Self-Assessment: Determinants

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Ability to apply	Ability to apply	Exp. higher return	Exp. higher return
	treatment: yes	treatment: dk	in task 2: yes	in task 2: dk
Female	-0.078**	0.049*	-0.027	0.024
	(8.025)	(8.020)	(0.025)	(0.022)
College or some university	0.051	-0.027	0.036	-0.026
	(0.038)	(0.028)	(0.038)	(0.032)
Bachelor degree or higher	0.051	-0.043	0.038	-0.059
	(0.038)	(0.029)	(0.038)	(0.032)
ln(Household income)	0.010	0.007	0.006	0.022**
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.007)
Household income missing	-0.181***	0.128***	-0.136***	0.130***
-	(0.032)	(0.022)	(0.032)	(0.025)
Financial wealth	0.000	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Financial Literacy Score	0.071***	-0.036**	0.065***	-0.058***
-	(0.018)	(0.013)	(0.018)	(0.014)
Cognitive Ability Score	0.007	0.007	0.023	0.001
	(0.013)	(0.012)	(0.014)	(0.013)
Numeracy Score	0.046**	-0.024	0.041	-0.008
	(0.010)	(0.015)	(0.016)	(0.015)
Financial knowledge: high	0.176***	-0.020	0.067	-0.070
	(0.043)	(0.039)	(0.043)	(0.043)
Financial knowledge: very high	0.015	-0.061	-0.040	-0.106
	(0.065)	(0.063)	(0.066)	(0.067)
Mean	0.462	0.193	0.467	0.269
N	1592	1592	1592	1592
chi2	272.890	164.537	256.316	219.204

Retirement and Savings Institute HEC MONTREAL

Self-Assessment: Determinants

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
	Ability to apply	Ability to apply	Exp. higher return	Exp. higher return	
	treatment: yes	treatment: dk	in task 2: yes	in task 2: dk	
	-		-		
St. market knowledge: high	-0.104	0.005	-0.103	0.040	
	(0.054)	(0.049)	(0.054)	(0.054)	
St. market knowledge: very high	-0.094	0.011	-0.110	0.146	
	(0.092)	(0.089)	(0.094)	(0.087)	
Has traded stocks	0.030	-0.039	0.074**	-0.070**	
	(0.027)	(0.024)	(0.027)	(0.026)	
Has studied economics	0.075**	-0.076**	0.060*	-0.047	
	(0.026)	(0.023)	(0.026)	(0.025)	
Mean ₁	0.022	-0.005	0.082	-0.131**	
	(0.049)	(0.042)	(0.050)	(0.048)	
Standard Deviation ₁	-0.005	-0.008	-0.038	0.058*	
	(0.025)	(0.021)	(0.025)	(0.023)	
Sharpe Ratio ₁	0.183	-0.231	0.195	-0.336*	
	(0.168)	(0.147)	(0.170)	(0.164)	
RML ₁	-0.009	0.008	0.014	-0.043*	
	(0.023)	(0.020)	(0.024)	(0.021)	
RSL ₁	0.011	-0.005	0.011*	-0.005	
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	
One over K ₁	-0.029	-0.032	-0.073	0.024	
	(0.038)	(0.031)	(0.038)	(0.033)	Potiromont and
Return Chasing ₁	-0.102	0.148	-0.145	0.179	
	(0.094)	(0.085)	(0.094)	(0.091)	. 🔼 Savings Institute
Mean	0.462	0.193	0.467	0.269	
N	1592	1592	1592	1592	HEC MONTREAL
chi2	272.890	164.537	256.316	219.204	

Task 2: Descriptive Statistics

Table 8: Performance in Allocation Task 2

	N	moon	ad	min	modian	mov
	IN	mean	sa	mm	median	max
$Mean_2$	1592	31.458	6.036	18.9	30.264	44.4
Standard Deviation ₂	1592	25.327	10.577	7.410	22.337	50.2
Sharpe Ratio ₂	1592	1.384	0.390	0.682	1.346	2.721
RML_2	1592	3.468	5.142	0	1.375	33.086
RSL_2	1592	7.072	10.292	0	3.365	59.852
One over K ₂	1592	0.164	0.370	0	0	1
Return chasing ₂	1592	0.077	0.266	0	0	1
Δ Sharpe Ratio	1592	0.039	0.276	-0.726	0	2.644
$\Delta \text{ RML}$	1592	-0.410	5.966	-33.086	0	31.711
$\Delta \text{ RSL}$	1592	-0.517	11.876	-59.852	0	57.051
Δ One over K	395	0.509	0.501	0	1	1
Δ Return chasing	165	0.473	0.501	0	0	1
ΔE	1592	0.342	0.474	0	0	1
ΔW	1592	0.035	0.184	0	0	1



Task 2: Portfolio Allocations Across Participants





Task 2: Portfolio Allocations Across Participants





Task 2: Treatment Effect on Portfolio Allocation



Retirement and Savings Institute **HEC MONTRĒAL**

Task 2: Treatment Effect on Portfolio Allocation





After Task 2: Descriptive Statistics – Treatment Questions

	Ν	mean	sd	min	median	\max
Treatment Score		2.334	0.786	0	3	3
Q: Return chasing		0.727	0.445	0	1	1
Q: Risk-adjusted returns		0.826	0.379	0	1	1
Q: One over K		0.781	0.414	0	1	1
N	1592					

Table 10: Performance Questions about Treatment



After Task 2: Treatment Effect on Questions

Table 11: Regressions of Performance in Questions about Treatment

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Treatment Score	Q: Return chasing	Q: Risk-adjusted returns	Q: One over K
Received treatment	0.161** (0.054) (0.146***) (0.032)	0.008 (0.030)	0.011 (0.031)
_cons	2.801			
	(1.856)			
Controls	Х	Х	Х	Х
Mean	2.334	0.727	0.826	0.781
Ν	1592	1592	1592	1592
r2	0.227			
chi2		173.175	174.774	214.015

Standard errors in parentheses

* p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.001



Selection Effects?

The overall test statistic for the joint hypothesis that all coefficients (except the coefficient for willingness to pay) in column 2 are zero provides a test of randomness.

The respective p-value is 0.5219. Therefore, the results in column 2 confirm that controlling for willingness to pay is sufficient to eliminate selection effects.

	(1)	(2)		
	Received	treatment	Received t	reatment	
Willingness to pay			0.154^{***}	(0.002)	
Female	0.010	(0.026)	-0.006	(0.018)	
College or some university	-0.057	(0.039)	-0.030	(0.026)	
Bachelor degree or higher	-0.080*	(0.039)	-0.031	(0.026)	
ln(Household income)	-0.014^{*}	(0.006)	-0.006	(0.004)	
Household income missing	-0.105^{**}	(0.034)	0.049	(0.025)	
Financial wealth	0.000	(0.000)	-0.000	(0.000)	
Financial Literacy Score	0.063^{***}	(0.019)	0.019	(0.014)	
Cognitive Ability Score	0.015	(0.014)	0.005	(0.009)	
Numeracy Score	0.009	(0.017)	-0.007	(0.011)	
Financial knowledge: high	-0.083	(0.044)	-0.020	(0.029)	
Financial knowledge: very high	-0.095	(0.069)	0.009	(0.046)	
St. market knowledge: high	-0.119^{*}	(0.056)	-0.079*	(0.037)	
St. market knowledge: very high	-0.056	(0.096)	0.005	(0.066)	
Has traded stocks	0.072^{**}	(0.028)	0.033	(0.019)	
Has studied economics	0.030	(0.027)	-0.008	(0.019)	
$Mean_1$	0.098	(0.052)	0.053	(0.034)	
Standard Deviation ₁	-0.052^{*}	(0.026)	-0.031	(0.017)	
Sharpe Ratio ₁	-0.008	(0.173)	-0.061	(0.118)	
RML_1	0.033	(0.025)	0.021	(0.016)	
RSL_1	0.000	(0.006)	-0.001	(0.004)	
One over K ₁	-0.118**	(0.039)	-0.038	(0.026)	
Return Chasing ₁	-0.085	(0.097)	0.027	(0.067)	
Mean	0.431		0.431		
N	1592		1592		
chi2	150.397		1154.095		