# Inferring Financial Sophistication: Evidence Using Credit Card Balance Transfers and the CARD Act 

Young Hwa Seok James Wang<br>Federal Reserve Board

April 7, 2022

The views expressed here are solely our own and should not be interpreted as reflecting the views of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System or of any other person associated with the Federal Reserve System.

## Motivation

"Well-informed consumers, who can serve as their own advocates, are one of the best lines of defense against the proliferation of financial products and services that are unsuitable, unnecessarily costly, or abusive."

- Chair of the Federal Reserve Board of Governors Ben Bernanke, 2011
- Financial knowledge is important to properly utilize complex financial products.
- New technologies and service models increase complexity of consumer finance.
- Financial products may incur additional costs if not used carefully.
- When properly used, financial products could reduce borrowing costs and introduce new opportunities to save.
- Important to be able to measure and understand impacts of financial sophistication.


## Measuring Financial Literacy

- What is Financial Literacy or Sophistication?
- Difficult to define and measure financial literacy.
- Lusardi et al (2009) draw a distinction between literacy, related to more basic finance understanding, and sophistication, composed of a more advanced understanding of financial topics.
- Common tests include survey questions on fees, interest rates, diversification, and capital markets.
- We focus on one particular form of financial sophistication: the observed use of a sophisticated, credit card maneuver that requires a complex understanding of credit card rules.


## What we do

- We develop an observed measure of financial literacy based upon actual credit card usage using loan-level regulatory credit card data.
- We find that the financially less sophisticated are at higher risk of delinquency and default, are more likely to pay unnecessary fees, and pay larger finance charges.
- We find that prior to revealing their lack of sophistication in the data, their cost of borrowing and loan terms are statistically similar, indicating that this form of sophistication is not initially priced.
- We find that The CARD Act reduced the cost of being unsophisticated and reduced their interest payments.
- We find that the financially less sophisticated in this particular dimension of credit card usage is also correlated with increased risk in other consumer lending products such as auto, home, and personal loans.
- We find that an area's proportion of financially less sophisticated is also correlated with local education and unemployment rates.


## Related literature

- Financial literacy
- Suboptimal financial decision (Lusardi and Mitchell 2014, Lusardi and Tufano 2015, Hastings et al. 2013, Bianchi 2017, Stolper and Walter 2017, Guiso and Viviano 2015, Agarwal et al. 2017), retirement planning (Lusardi et al. 2011), EITC knowledge (Chetty et al. 2013), portfolio choice (Christelis et al. 2008), day-to-day financial management (Hilgert et al. 2003)
- Credit card behaviors
- Credit limit changes (Gross and Souleles 2002), present bias (Kuchler and Page 2018), minimum payment (Navarro-Martinez et al. 2019), debt allocation (Ponce et al. 2017), subprime cards (Han, et al. 2018), the effect on young borrowers (Debbaut, et al. 2016), balance transfer (Agarwal et al. 2009)


## - CARD Act

- Borrowing costs (Agarwal et al. 2014), card closures (Jambulati and Stavins 2014), personal loans (Elliehausen and Hannon 2018), credit card use (Jones et al. 2012)
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## Background

## What is a Balance Transfer?

- A transaction that enables borrowers to move existing debt from other credit cards or other loans to a credit line.
- The credit card balance transfer fee is a small percentage (2-3\%) of transferred amount or a fixed dollar amount.
- You generally need good or excellent credit to get a 0\% balance transfer credit card.



## Why do consumers use balance transfers?

- Consumers can consolidate multiple debts into a single account.
- Consumers can save interest expenses if interest rates on the new credit line is lower than interests on their existing liability.
- A typical balance transfer offer has interest free introductory period of 12 to 18 months.




## Caveat

- Balance transfers must be completed within fixed periods of account opening.
- Introductory APR change to regular interest rates after the promotional period ends.
- This creates mixed balances with different interest rates on the same credit card.

Dual Interest Balance


## Pre-CARD Act of 2009

- The CARD Act created a set of enhanced consumer protection, disclosure, and prohibition statues into the credit card market.
- Prior to the CARD Act, credit card companies required cardholders to pay for the balances that have a lowest interest rate first in the event of dual interest balance.

Dual Interest Balance


## Credit Card Strategy

- Financially sophisticated strategy is to split spending and balance transfers onto separate cards and pay off high interest rate balances first.


## Post-CARD Act of 2009

- The updated payment rules prioritized higher interest yielding balances before lower interest yielding balances.
- Balance transfer balances would be paid off only after regular purchase balances are depleted.

Dual Interest Balance
Paid (Month1)

## Empirical Methodology

## Data

## - Federal Reserve’s Y-14M Regulatory Credit Card Collection

- Loan level collection from 2008 to 2022 at monthly frequencies. Our sample covers 2008-2011.
- Covers the largest issuers of U.S. Credit Cards, $80 \%$ of the entire credit card market.
- Only loan level dataset that has promotional balance and balance transfer information
- Y-14M allows us to restrict to accounts with multiple credit cards at the same bank so our loan sample are all able to separate purchases onto another card.


## - 2011 American Community Survey

- Social and economic characteristics (ex. unemployment rate, college graduation rate, average income, etc.) at the zip-code level
- Equifax Consumer Credit Panel
- Credit bureau data containing loan-level records of mortgage, auto, student, credit card, and other consumer lending.
- We match, at the loan-level, between the $Y$-14M and Equifax to investigate spillovers to other loan types


## Timeline of CARD Act

- The CARD Act was introduced in the House of Representatives in January 2009, signed into law in May 2009.
- The major amendments, including the payment rules regarding high APR balances, took effect in February 2010. Credit card companies had the option to begin implementing changes prior to February 2010.
- We defined pre CARD Act period to be 2008 and post CARD Act period to be 20102011. We exclude 2009 from the sample.



## Recap

- Prior to the CARD Act, the financially sophisticated maneuver is to use different credit cards and split spending for purchase.
- In post-CARD Act, no such strategy is necessary.
- We define Comingle to be accounts that continue to purchase while there is a promotional balance with a lower interest rate.


## Separating

Account that use different credit cards to purchase

## Comingling

Account that use the same credit card to purchase

## Identification

- Naïve comparison: Comparing those that comingle with those that separate.
- Many other factors contribute to the decision to separate vs comingle. Unobserved endogeneity could be from convenience, hyperbolic discounting, short attention span bias, liquidity constraints and other behavioral factors.
- Identifying assumption: Conditional on the rich set of loan-level controls, the CARD Act changed the propensity for the sophisticated to comingle but is orthogonal to the other factors that contribute to comingling.
- For example, conditional on loan terms, the kind of borrowers that comingled due to convenience prior to The Card Act will continue to do so after The Card Act.

| Separating | Comingling |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sophisticated about the payment rules and people <br> that do not comingle for other reasons | Unsophisticated about the payment rules and <br> people that comingle for other reasons |

## Empirical Strategy

- Restrictions
- We restrict only to cardholders with multiple credit cards.
- Promotional balance must have a lower interest rate than their regular purchase rate.
- We restrict each account to their first instance of a balance transfer.
- Accounts with a balance transfer.
- Pre-Card Act (2008), sophisticated users switch to another card (unobserved) and do not continue purchasing (Separating) while unsophisticated users continue purchasing (Comingling)
- Post Card Act (2010-2011), there is no longer the same incentive to separate due to sophistication

|  | Separating | Comingling |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre Card Act | Sophisticated about the payment rules and people that do not comingle for other reasons | Unsophisticated about the payment rules and people that comingle for other reasons |
| Post Card Act | Unsophisticated and sophisticated about payment rules and the people that do not comingle for other reasons | Unsophisticated and sophisticated about payment rules and the people that comingle for other reasons |

## Quasi-Difference-in-Difference

- Not a standard diff-in-diff, the Card Act is not a treatment effect for financial literacy

$$
Y_{i t}=\alpha+\beta \text { Comingle }_{i}+\theta \text { Pre CardAct }_{t}+\delta\left(\text { Comingle }_{i} \times \text { Pre CardAct }_{i}\right)+X_{i}+\epsilon_{i, t}
$$

- Comingle ${ }_{i}$ is an indicator for customers who continue purchasing
- Pre CardAct $t_{t}$ is an indicator for whether BT occurred pre-Card Act in 2008
- $\delta$ is the parameter of interest
- $Y_{i t}$ are the credit card usage outcomes
- $X_{i}$ are other observed card characteristics (ex. card type, issuing bank, cycle date, age of account, balance transfer volume, utilization, credit limit, balance, APR, FICO, income, total fees, etc.)


## Y14M Summary Statistics

|  | Count | At Balance Transfer |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | 1st Percent | 99th Percent | StD |
| Observations |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pre Card Act | 885,064 |  |  |  |  |
| Post Card Act | 630,985 |  |  |  |  |
| Comingle | 748,929 |  |  |  |  |
| Pre Card Act Comingle | 405,555 |  |  |  |  |
| Account Characteristics |  |  |  |  |  |
| Account Age (Months) | 1,516,049 | 77 | 0 | 347 | 76 |
| Credit Score | 1,516,049 | 738 | 596 | 850 | 65 |
| Borrower Income (\$) | 1,516,049 | \$36,638 | \$0 | \$250,000 | \$118,917 |
| Cycle Ending APR (\%) | 1,516,047 | 14.0\% | 5\% | 30.0\% | 5.2\% |
| Credit Limit (\$) | 1,516,049 | \$13,499 | \$1,000 | \$46,800 | \$9,692 |
| Risk Drivers |  |  |  |  |  |
| BT Volume (\$) | 1,516,049 | \$5,522 | \$74 | \$30,000 | \$6,568 |
| Promotional Balance (\$) | 1,516,049 | \$6,841 | \$34 | \$32,790 | \$7,206 |
| Cycle Balance (\$) | 1,516,049 | \$5,403 | \$0 | \$29,391 | \$6,407 |
| Card Utilization (\%) | 1,516,044 | 54.8\% | 0\% | 100.2\% | 53.9\% |
| Payment Amount (\$) | 1,516,049 | \$405 | \$0 | \$7,092 | \$1,688 |
| Purchase Volume (\$) | 1,516,049 | \$245 | \$0 | \$3,649 | \$869 |

## Average days past due after Balance Transfer

- Higher delinquency risk after balance transfer
- Delinquency rates were higher prior to 2009 than after 2009 due to the financial crisis.



## Effect of Financial Literacy

## Estimated $\delta$

$$
\left.Y_{i t}=\alpha+\beta \text { Comingle }_{i}+\theta{\text { Pre } \text { CardAct }_{t}+\boldsymbol{\delta}\left(\text { Comingle }_{\boldsymbol{i}} \times \text { Pre }_{\text {CardAct }}^{\boldsymbol{i}}\right)}\right)+X_{i}+\epsilon_{i, t}
$$

- $\delta$ can be plotted through time for different outcome variables
- Measures of Risk: Days past due, Late fees, finance charges, and over limit fees


## Days Past Due

- Delinquency increases soon after initial snapshot

|  | 3 Months <br> Ahead | 6 Months <br> Ahead | 12 Months <br> Ahead | 18 Months <br> Ahead | 24 Months <br> Ahead |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre Card Act | $0.118^{* * *}$ | $0.444^{* * *}$ | $1.861^{* * *}$ | $3.571^{* * *}$ | $4.272^{* * *}$ |
| Comingle | $(0.0194)$ | $(0.0431)$ | $(0.0942)$ | $(0.139)$ | $(0.163)$ |
| Pre Card Act x Comingle | 0.0125 | 0.0304 | $0.221^{* * *}$ | 0.138 | -0.0528 |
|  | $(0.0136)$ | $(0.0287)$ | $(0.0577)$ | $(0.0883)$ | $(0.106)$ |
| Additional Controls | -0.0365 | $0.110^{*}$ | $0.877^{* * *}$ | $1.785^{* * *}$ | $\left.2.065^{* * *}\right)$ |
| Observations | $(0.0240)$ | $(0.0638)$ | $(0.146)$ | $(0.215)$ | $(0.256)$ |
| $R^{2}$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $\checkmark$ |

## Estimated $\delta$ - Credit Risk (Regression Plot)



Finance Charge
Initial Snapshot
Proportion Assessed Late Fees
Initial Snapshot


Proportion Over Credit Limit
Initial Snapshot



## Observed Account Differences

- At the point of balance transfer, those that will comingle or separate have similar loan terms

|  | APR (\%) | Credit Limit (\$) | Credit Score | Income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre Card Act | -5.111*** | 3,846 | -13.58 | 20,482 |
|  | (1.816) | $(4,850)$ | (24.84) | $(33,960)$ |
| Comingle | -0.0961 | -1,024* | -13.21** | -3,008 |
|  | (0.412) | (603.0) | (5.359) | $(5,144)$ |
| Pre Card Act x Comingle | 0.209 | 479.0 | 3.108 | 2,760 |
|  | (0.427) | (642.2) | (5.660) | $(5,336)$ |
| Additional Controls | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Observations | 19,571 | 19,571 | 19,571 | 19,571 |
| \# of Pre Card Act Comingle | 7,809 | 7,809 | 7,809 | 7,809 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.573 | 0.631 | 0.556 | 0.622 |

## Estimated $\delta$ - Risk Pricing (Regression Plot)





## Effect of the CARD Act on Interest Charges

- We investigate the impact of the CARD Act on excess interest fees.
- The imputed finance charge follows the CARD Act rules for payment allocation.
- We created a counterfactual finance charge by forcing payments to be applied to the purchase APR first.
- The calculation assumes that the path of payments before the CARD Act is unchanged and that the promotional APR, which is unavailable in the data, is approximately a third of the purchase APR.


## Effect of the Card Act on Interest Charges

- The approximate $\$ 120$ dollar difference represents the average savings a less sophisticated balance transfer cardholder would gain had the CARD Act payment rules been active.

|  | Pre Card Act |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sophisticated | Less Sophisticated |
| Actual Finance <br> Charge <br> Imputed Finance <br> Charge <br> (Card Act Rules) | $\$ 334$ | $\$ 565$ |
| Difference | $\$ 347$ | $\$ 445$ |

## Spillovers to Other Loans

- We observed the differences in credit card loan characteristics and risks following a balance transfer.
- We further investigate spillovers to other use of consumer credit to determine if less sophisticated credit card users also make poor use of other products.
- We used the Equifax Consumer Credit Panel Data (CCP) to gather additional details on non-credit card loan products (ex. auto loans, student loans, mortgage, etc.)


## Matching to the Equifax Consumer Credit Panel Data

- Equifax CCP is a semi-annual snapshot of a random $5 \%$ subset of borrowers. Contains entire credit file including mortgages, student loans, auto loans, and credit cards
- To bring in the $\mathrm{Y}-14 \mathrm{M}$ promotional balance data, we have to loan-level match
- Exact matches: zip code, origination month, snapshot month, credit limit (within \$1), cycle balance (within \$1)
- Fuzzy matches: credit score Equifax Riskscore vs FICO (within 25 points)
- For an account to be considered a match, there has to be at least 2 months that fulfill the match criteria between $\mathrm{Y}-14 \mathrm{M}$ and Equifax.
- Of 1,516,049 accounts in the Y-14M, 82,702 ( $\sim 5 \%$ rate) match


## Significant Spillover to Other Credit Products

|  | Card <br> Delinquency | Auto <br> Delinquency | Student <br> Delinquency | Mortgage <br> Delinquency | Auto Loan <br> Term | Number of <br> Credit <br> Inquiries | Chance of <br> Bankruptcy | Personal <br> Loan Lender |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre Card Act |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Comingle | $0.0942^{* * *}$ | $0.0629^{* * *}$ | $0.0509^{* * *}$ | $0.0700^{* * *}$ | -0.521 | $0.460^{* * *}$ | $0.0443^{* * *}$ | $0.00982^{* * *}$ |
|  | $(0.00404)$ | $(0.00400)$ | $(0.00575)$ | $(0.00313)$ | $(0.340)$ | $(0.0351)$ | $(0.00267)$ | $(0.00255)$ |
| Pre Card Act x Comingle | $0.0460^{* * *}$ | $0.0256^{* * *}$ | $0.0208^{* * * *}$ | $0.0130^{* * *}$ | 0.313 | $0.117^{* * *}$ | $0.0150^{* * *}$ | $0.00491^{*}$ |
|  | $(0.00417)$ | $(0.00408)$ | $(0.00573)$ | $(0.00299)$ | $(0.366)$ | $(0.0357)$ | $(0.00264)$ | $(0.00265)$ |
|  | $(0.00612)$ | $(0.00608)$ | $(0.00863)$ | $(0.00490)$ | $(0.511)$ | $(0.0534)$ | $(0.00419)$ | $(0.00389)$ |
| Additional Controls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Local Determinants of Sophistication

- We measured whether financial sophistication correlates with the local area characteristics such as the college graduation rate, unemployment rate and local average income, etc.
- We used the zip-code level data from 2011 American Community Survey.


## Correlation with Local Determinants

- Aggregated $\mathrm{Y}-14 \mathrm{M}$ data to a higher geographical level
- The regressor of interest is defined to be

$$
\text { Ratio }=\frac{\text { Average Pre Card Act Comingle Rate }}{\text { Average Post Card Act Comingle Rate }}
$$

- An increase in ratio indicates the proportion of the less sophisticated increases relative to the proportion of the more sophisticated.
- Include state and aggregate card controls such as average balance, balance transfer volume, utilization, payment, purchases, credit limits, APR, and credit score.


## Significant Regional Variation in Pre Card Act Comingle Rate



## Local Determinants of Comingle Rate

Ratio of Pre to Post Comingle Rate

| Local Unemployment Rate | $0.00241^{* * *}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | (0.000601) |
| Local Finance Employment Share | -0.000907* |
|  | (0.000510) |
| Local Population Age 16+ | -1.63e-07 |
|  | (3.79e-07) |
| Local Average Income | 0.0157 |
|  | (0.0103) |
| Local College Graduation Rate | $-0.00107 * * *$ |
| , | (0.000274) |
| State and Card Controls | $\checkmark$ |
| Counties | 21,950 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.070 |

## Conclusion

## Conclusion

- The financially less sophisticated are at higher risk of delinquency and default, are more likely to pay unnecessary fees, and pay larger finance charges.
- Prior to revealing their lack of sophistication in the data, their cost of borrowing and loan terms are statistically similar, indicating that this form of sophistication is not initially priced.
- The CARD Act reduced the cost of being unsophisticated and reduced their interest payments.
- Less sophisticated use of credit card rules creates increased risks in other consumer lending products such as auto, home, and personal loans.
- An area's proportion of financially less sophisticated is also correlated with local education and unemployment rates.

Thank You!

## Appendix

## Average Account Characteristics



## Credit Risk

- Other dimensions of credit risk at 12 months after initial snapshot

|  | Days Past Due | Late Fee | Finance Charge | Over Limit Fee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre Card Act | $1.861^{* * *}$ | $0.0357 * * *$ | $20.59 * * *$ | $0.00765^{* * *}$ |
| Comingle | $(0.0942)$ | $(0.00196)$ | $(0.626)$ | $(0.000799)$ |
|  | $0.221^{* * *}$ | $0.0156 * * *$ | $6.843^{* * *}$ | $0.00258^{* * *}$ |
| Pre Card Act x Comingle | $(0.0577)$ | $(0.00184)$ | $(0.436)$ | $(0.000651)$ |
|  | $0.877^{* * *}$ | $0.00715^{* *}$ | $12.30 * * *$ | $0.0152^{* * *}$ |
| Additional Controls | $(0.146)$ | $(0.00280)$ | $(0.828)$ | $(0.00129)$ |
| Observations | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 149,491 | 149,491 | 149,491 | 149,491 |

