The Impact of Financial Literacy on Negotiation Behavior

SUSAN D. KRISCHE Alexandra Mislin



Broad research question

How does financial literacy impact negotiations?

Responsibility for own long-term financial well-being

- \rightarrow development and training
- \rightarrow financial choices
- → understanding and interpretation of business contexts, e.g.,
 - financial disclosures, including non-quantitative issues (Krische, Contemporary Accounting Research, forthcoming)
 - management of interpersonal communications and interactions in common business contexts

Research Approach

Individual employment-related negotiations

Participants

- students recruited from undergraduate business courses
- adults recruited from an online crowdsourcing service

Negotiation behaviors in an employment situation

- Willingness to initiate a negotiation
- Likelihood of achieving a favorable outcome, if initiated

- Financial literacy

- Studies 1 and 2: **Measured** financial knowledge and confidence, with statistical controls
- Studies 3 and 4: **Manipulated** financial knowledge via feedback with learning opportunities

So, what?

- IF financial literacy improves the likelihood of initiation and likelihood of achieving a favorable outcome from a negotiation...
- THEN there are likely important implications for career advancement and compensation:
 - Financial literacy may impact career advancement even for fields not traditionally considered as financial or quantitative.
 - Lower levels of financial literacy have been documented for certain demographic groups, on average, suggesting:
 - Even higher hurdles to career advancement
 - Proactive intervention

Hypothesis

Participants' financial literacy improves their

- a. willingness to engage in negotiation and
- b. likelihood of achieving a favorable outcome

from a negotiation.

Financial literacy

1. Fundamental *financial knowledge* or understanding

- Lusardi and Mitchell
 - Research
 - e.g., Almenberg and Widmark (2011), Li et al. (2011), many others
 - Nationwide surveys
 - e.g., FINRA Foundation (2009a, 2009b, 2012)

2. Financial confidence

as perceived or subjective financial knowledge

- Less consistency in measurement
 - Research
 - e.g., Tokar (2015), Allgood and Walstad (2016), Tang and Baker (2016)
 - Nationwide surveys
 - e.g., FINRA Foundation (2009a, 2009b, 2012)

Financial knowledge (Appendix A, panel A)

- Suppose you had \$100 in a savings account and the interest rate was 2% per year. After 5 years, how much do you think you would have in the account if you left the money to grow? (More than/Less than/Exactly \$102)
- Imagine that the interest rate on your savings account was 1% per year and inflation was 2% per year. After 1 year, how much would you be able to buy with the money in this account? (More than/Less than/Same as today)
- If the interest rate falls, what should happen to bond prices? (Rise/Fall/Stay the same)
- Buying a single company's stock usually provides a safer return than a stock mutual fund. (True/False)
- A 15-year mortgage typically requires higher monthly payments than a 30-year mortgage, but the total interest paid over the life of the loan will be less. (True/False)

Financial confidence (Appendix A, Panel B)

• How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Seven-point scale from "Strongly Disagree" to "Strongly Agree"

- I am good at dealing with day-to-day financial matters, such as checking accounts, credit and debit cards, and tracking expenses
- I am pretty good at math
- I regularly keep up with economic and financial news.
- On a scale from 1 to 7, where 1 means "Very Low" and 7 means "Very High," how would you assess your overall financial knowledge?

Financial Literacy \rightarrow Negotiations

a. Willingness to negotiate

- Lower confidence in negotiation ability
 - e.g., Bandura (2001), Huppertz (2003)
- Lower clarity of purpose from potential negotiation
 - e.g., Volkema (2009)

b. Negotiation performance

- Lower ability leads to higher test anxiety, with anxious negotiators lowering aspirations and first offers
 - e.g., Brooks and Schweitzer (2011)
- Lower first offers lead to worse negotiation outcomes
 - e.g., Liebert et al. (1968), Yukl (1974), Neale and Bazerman (1991), Galinsky et al. (2002)
- Lower awareness of broader implications (e.g., opportunity cost)

Studies 1 and 2: Measured Knowledge and Confidence

	Study 1	Study 2				
Participants	Students at U.S. university (n=236)					
Negotiation context	nancial bonus / Vacation days ppendix C)					
Pre-negotiation	as Employee					
	Likelihood of initiating negotiation					
	First offer, drop down menu					
Negotiating dya	ds (assigned role as Manager or Empl	oyee)				
	Agreement reached					
	Value of agreement (standardized by context)					
Demographics	(including financial knowledge, financial confidence, and sensitivity variables: numeracy, analytical reasoning, risk preferences)					

Studies 1 and 2: Measured Knowledge and Confidence

	Study 1	Study 2			
Participants	Students at U.S. university (n=236)	U.Sbased MTurk workers (n=986)			
Negotiation context	Financial bonus / Vacation days (Appendix C)	2 Financial / 2 Non-financial / Inequity / Complexity (Appendix D)			
Pre-negotiation	as Employee				
	Likelihood of initiating negotiation	Likelihood of initiating negotiation			
	First offer, drop down menu	First offer, drop down menu			
Negotiating dya	ds (assigned role as Manager or Empl	oyee)			
	Agreement reached				
	Value of agreement (standardized by context)				
Demographics	(including financial knowledge, finan sensitivity variables: <i>numeracy, ana</i>				

TABLE 1Study 1 Descriptive Statistics

Panel A: Financial literacy

				Ra	nge	with F	elation inancial vledge	with F	elation inancial idence
Variable	Ν	Mean	SD	Min	Max	r	р	r	р
Financial knowledge	236	0.697	0.253	0	1			0.510	< 0.001
Financial confidence	236	4.660	1.320	1	7	0.510	< 0.001		

TABLE 1Study 1 Descriptive Statistics

Panel A: Financial literacy

				Ra	nge	with F	elation inancial wledge	with F	elation inancial idence
Variable	Ν	Mean	SD	Min	Max	r	p	r	р
Financial knowledge	236	0.697	0.253	0	1			0.510	< 0.001
Financial confidence	236	4.660	1.320	1	7	0.510	< 0.001		

Panel B: Pre-negotiation dependent variables

				Ra	nge	with F	elation inancial wledge	with Fi	elation nancial dence
Variable	Ν	Mean	SD	Min	Max	r	р	r	р
Likelihood of engaging in negotiation	236	5.068	1.469	1	7	0.122	0.030	0.180	0.003
First-offer	236	6.017	1.870	1	8	0.117	0.036	0.020	0.380

TABLE 2

Study 1 Regression analyses

Panel A: Pre-negotiation dependent measures

	Likeliho	od of engagir	ıg in nego	tiation		First offer			
	Estimate	Std Err	t	р	Estimate	Std Err	t	р	
Intercept	4.078	0.363	11.25	< 0.001	5.626	0.466	12.07	< 0.001	
Financial Knowledge	0.240	0.435	0.55	0.291	1.070	0.559	1.91	0.028	
Financial Confidence	0.177	0.083	2.12	0.018	-0.076	0.107	-0.71	0.478*	

 Results suggest financial confidence drives participants' willingness to engage in negotiation, while financial knowledge influences the level of participants' first offer.

TABLE 2

Study 1 Regression analyses

Panel A: Pre-negotiation dependent measures

	Likelihood of engaging in negotiation					First offer				
	Estimate	Std Err	t	р	Estimate	Std Err	t	р		
Intercept	4.078	0.363	11.25	< 0.001	5.626	0.466	12.07	< 0.001		
Financial Knowledge	0.240	0.435	0.55	0.291	1.070	0.559	1.91	0.028		
Financial Confidence	0.177	0.083	2.12	0.018	-0.076	0.107	-0.71	0.478*		

TABLE 4Study 2 Regression analyses

	Likelihood of engaging in negotiation					First offer				
	Estimate	Std Err	t	р	Estimate	Std Err	t	р		
Intercept	3.940	0.233	16.910	< 0.001	5.817	0.510	11.420	< 0.001		
Financial Knowledge	-0.205	0.216	-0.950	0.828	1.282	0.472	2.710	0.003		
Financial Confidence	0.282	0.045	6.210	< 0.001	0.162	0.099	1.640	0.051		

• Results suggest financial confidence drives participants' willingness to engage in negotiation, while financial knowledge influences the level of participants' first offer.

Sensitivity Analyses

• Financial knowledge and confidence generally \uparrow with

- Gender (reported being male)
- Numeracy skills
- Analytical reasoning
- Risk preferences
- But, when each of these individual measures are added to the regression models:
 - financial confidence remains significantly related to participants' willingness to engage in negotiation (all p <0.05)
 - financial knowledge remains at least marginally related to the level of participants' first offer (all p <0.10)

More Sensitivity Analyses

- Financial knowledge and confidence unrelated to context manipulations
 - Randomization, as expected
- Some evidence of interactions when context manipulations are added to regressions:
 - Study 1: no significant interactions with either financial knowledge or financial literacy.
 - Study 2: in initiation, only significant interaction between context and confidence

- confidence remains significant in both contexts

in first offer, only significant interaction between inequity and knowledge

- higher knowledge significantly increases offer in higher inequity, and not lower inequity, conditions

Negotiating dyads (students only, Study 1)

Assigned roles in dyads take on opposite perspectives

Negotiating dyads (students only, Study 1)

Assigned roles in dyads take on opposite perspectives

TABLE 2

Study 1 Regression analyses **Panel B:** Post-negotiation dependent measures

		Agreement				Negotiated Outcome				
	Estimate	Std Err	t	р	Estimate	Std Err	t	р		
Intercept	1.405	0.319	4.41	< 0.001	-0.038	0.833	-0.05	0.964		
Financial Knowledge - Employee	-0.293	0.230	-1.28	0.205	0.788	0.582	1.35	0.092		
Financial Knowledge - Manager	-0.199	0.250	-0.80	0.428	-1.522	0.650	-2.34	0.012		
Financial Confidence - Employee	-0.093	0.044	-2.10	0.039	0.024	0.109	0.22	0.413		
Financial Confidence - Manager	-0.027	0.047	-0.57	0.570	0.097	0.123	0.79	0.783		

– Employees' financial confidence \downarrow likelihood of agreement

- Perhaps demanding more with relatively little negotiation power?
- But, if an agreement was reached, then as expected

 - Managers' financial knowledge \downarrow negotiated outcome

Studies 3 and 4: Manipulated Financial Knowledge

	Study 3	Study 4
Participants		
Pre-test	Financial knowledge questions with/without feedback	
Context		
Pre-negotiation	as Employee	
Dyads (assigned	role as Manager or Employee, with c	ontrol/control or control/feedback)
Post-test	Financial knowledge questions (without feedback)	
Demographics		

Studies 3 and 4: Feedback example (Appendix E)

Question 1:

Suppose you had \$100 in a savings account and the interest rate was 2% per year. After 5 years, how much do you think you would have in the account if you left the money to grow?

- More than \$102
- O Exactly \$102
- **O** Less than 102

Studies 3 and 4: Feedback example (Appendix E)

That's correct! OR Let's think through the answer to that question:

If you had \$100 in a savings account and the interest rate was 2% per year, you would earn 2% each year.

- In the first year, you would earn \$2.00, for a total of \$102.00 at the end of the year.
- In the second year, you would earn \$2.04, for a total of \$104.04. *That's a little higher because of compounding -- you've earned interest on the extra \$2 from the first year in addition to the original \$100.*
- In the third year, you would earn \$2.08, for a total of \$106.12.
- In the fourth year, you would earn \$2.12, for a total of \$108.24.
- In the fifth year, you would earn \$2.16, for a total of \$110.40.

So, if you had \$100 in a savings account and the interest rate was 2% per year, then you would have \$110.40 after five years if you left the money to grow.

Please click on CONTINUE to proceed to the next question. OR Please click on CONTINUE to try this question again.

Studies 3 and 4: Manipulated Financial Knowledge

	Study 3	Study 4
Participants	Students at U.S. university (n=304)	
Pre-test	Financial knowledge questions with/without feedback	
Context	Financial bonus	
Pre-negotiation	as Employee	
	Likelihood of initiating negotiation	
	First offer, drop down menu	
Dyads (assigned	role as Manager or Employee, with c	ontrol/control or control/feedback)
	Agreement reached	
	Value of agreement (standardized)	
Post-test	Financial knowledge questions (without feedback)	
Demographics (i	ncluding financial confidence)	

Studies 3 and 4: Manipulated Financial Knowledge

	Study 3	Study 4			
Participants	Students at U.S. university (n=304)	U.Sbased MTurk workers (n=404)			
Pre-test	Financial knowledge questions with/without feedback	Financial knowledge questions with/without feedback			
Context	Financial bonus	Financial bonus			
Pre-negotiation	as Employee				
	Likelihood of initiating negotiation	Likelihood of initiating negotiation			
	First offer, drop down menu	First offer, drop down menu			
Dyads (assigned	role as Manager or Employee, with c	ontrol/control or control/feedback)			
	Agreement reached				
	Value of agreement (standardized)				
Post-test	Financial knowledge questions (without feedback)	Financial knowledge questions (without feedback)			
Demographics (i	ncluding financial confidence)				

TABLE 5Study 3 and Study 4 Descriptive Statistics

Panel A: Financial literacy

	l	No feedbac	k group	(contro	l)	Feedback group					Feedback	
Variable	Ν	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Ν	Mean	SD	Min	Max	Difference	p
STUDY 3:												
Knowledge (pre-test)	207	0.733	0.208	0	1	97	0.736	0.221	0	1	0.003	0.458
Knowledge (post-test)	207	0.729	0.224	0	1	97	0.930	0.139	0.4	1	0.200	<.001
Change in knowledge	207	-0.004	0.141	-0.6	0.4	97	0.194	0.224	-0.4	0.8	0.198	<.001
p p		0.693					<.001					
Confidence (control)	207	4.191	1.083	1	6	97	4.428	0.994	2.25	6	0.237	0.069

STUDY 4:					•	•	·		•			
Knowledge (pre-test)	203	0.780	0.190	0.2	1	201	0.805	0.189	0	1	0.025	0.096
Knowledge (post-test)	203	0.759	0.197	0.2	1	201	0.953	0.131	0.2	1	0.195	<.001
Change in knowledge	203	-0.022	0.107	-0.4	0.6	201	0.148	0.176	-0.2	0.8	0.170	<.001
p		0.004					<.001					
Confidence (control)	203	4.776	1.046	2	7	201	4.905	1.067	1	7	0.130	0.109

TABLE 6

Study 3 and Study 4 Regression analyses

		del 1: Initial trolling for	0	·						
	Estimate	Estimate Std Err t p								
STUDY 3 (n=304)										
Intercept	6.153	0.458	13.440	< 0.001						
Financial Confidence	0.035	0.099	0.350	0.363						
Financial Knowledge	1.109	0.498	2.230	0.013						
Change in Knowledge										

Panel A: First offer (pre-negotiation from employee's perspective)

TABLE 6

Study 3 and Study 4 Regression analyses

		del 1: Initial itrolling for	0	·		el 2: Initial a ge, controllii	0	
	Estimate						t	р
STUDY 3 (n=304)		·				•		
Intercept	6.153	0.458	13.440	< 0.001	5.590	0.469	11.910	< 0.001
Financial Confidence	0.035	0.099	0.350	0.363	-0.020	0.098	-0.210	0.582
Financial Knowledge	1.109	0.498	2.230	0.013	2.023	0.539	3.760	< 0.001
Change in Knowledge					2.147	0.545	3.940	< 0.001

Panel A: First offer (pre-negotiation from employee's perspective)

No interaction with feedback manipulation.

Results are similar in the control and feedback conditions.

STUDY 4 (n=404)								
Intercept	5.668	0.405	14.000	< 0.001	5.575	0.443	12.580	< 0.001
Financial Confidence	0.112	0.074	1.510	0.066	0.113	0.074	1.520	0.064
Financial Knowledge	1.440	0.413	3.490	0.000	1.532	0.450	3.400	0.000
Change in Knowledge					0.249	0.485	0.510	0.304

Significant interaction with feedback manipulation.

Control: The (unpredicted) changes in knowledge have a *negative* impact on first offer. Feedback: Change in financial knowledge has the *predicted positive* impact on first offer.

Negotiating dyads (students only, Study 3)

Table 6 (continued)

Panel B: Negotiated outcome (post-negotiation)

		del 1: Initial trolling for	0		Model 2: Initial and Change in knowledge, controlling for confidence				
	Estimate	Std Err	t	р	Estimate	Std Err	t	р	
STUDY 3 ONLY (n= 63 dyads)									
Intercept	0.799	0.917	0.870	0.387	1.119	0.902	1.240	0.220	
Financial Confidence - Employee	-0.064	0.147	-0.430	0.667	-0.055	0.141	-0.390	0.651	
Financial Confidence - Manager	-0.200	0.119	-1.690	0.048	-0.043	0.127	-0.340	0.367	
Financial Knowledge - Employee	0.541	0.671	0.810	0.212	0.454	0.690	0.660	0.257	
Financial Knowledge - Manager	-0.093	0.643	-0.150	0.443	-1.181	0.732	-1.610	0.056	
Change in Knowledge - Employee					-0.034	0.749	-0.050	0.518	
Change in Knowledge - Manager					-2.210	0.807	-2.740	0.004	

Participants' financial knowledge and change in knowledge are significantly related to the negotiated outcome, at least for participants in the role of the manager.

Summary

Studies 1 and 2: Measured knowledge and confidence

- Financial confidence \leftrightarrow willingness to engage in negotiation.
- Financial knowledge \leftrightarrow level of first offer.
- Effects persist after statistically controlling for gender, alternative cognitive skill sets, and risk preferences.

• Studies 3 and 4: Feedback with learning opportunities

- Feedback \rightarrow higher financial literacy (at least in short term) which, in turn \rightarrow increases level of first offer.
- Negotiating dyads in Studies 1 and 3
 - Supports increase in financial knowledge \rightarrow level of first offer
 - Direction of impact determined by role in negotiation
 - Strength of impact may vary with role characteristics

So, what?

- Financial literacy improves the likelihood of initiating and likelihood of achieving a favorable outcome from a negotiation.
- This highlights probable implications for career advancement and compensation:
 - Financial literacy may impact career advancement even for fields not traditionally considered as financial or quantitative.
 - Lower levels of financial literacy have been documented for certain demographic groups, on average, suggesting:
 - Even higher hurdles to career advancement
 - Proactive intervention

The Impact of Financial Literacy on Negotiation Behavior

SUSAN D. KRISCHE Alexandra Mislin

